

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 16, 1999 LB 100

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay.

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: It would be an exclusion.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Would...would it make any sense, I was...I would ask you to think about this for Select File, would it make any sense to require an affidavit, a statement that the successor, claiming successor had either paid the inheritance taxes, or that the inheritance taxes were not due?

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: We could certainly look at some wording. That had not been suggested earlier by the title insurance people. And one thing that I would like to make clear at this point, this is not going to be for everybody. This is simply going...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Right

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: ...to be in those circumstances where there is a readily...readily accomplished transfer, and if there are tax consequences, obviously, there will have to be an inheritance tax proceeding anyway in order to clear the title to this property. So if it appears that the relationship is such that if it's \$25,000 or \$50,000 if you'd couple the properties together, if it's that, then if there is a distant relationship, there will be, obviously, tax consequences. That will have to be done by a separate proceeding. The affidavit will help but not that much.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. With respect to the affidavit,...

SENATOR CROSBY: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...it has...it has to have the statement and that the 30 days have expired since the death of the owner of the property. In other circumstances, probably the title insurance company would require a death certificate to be filed to prove the death of an owner, for example, with a joint tenancy deed. In this instance, is it intended that that death certificate would still be required, or is it intended that the title company could rely upon the statement in the affidavit as to the truth of that matter?